



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,227	02/27/2004	Bach H. Le	049051-0221	4842
31824 7590 08/19/2008 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 18191 VON KARMAN AVE. SUITE 500 IRVINE, CA 92612-7108			EXAMINER BELANI, KISHIN G	
			ART UNIT 2143	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/19/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Pre-Interview Communication (For use in the First Action Interview Pilot Program)	Application No. 10/787,227	Applicant(s) LE ET AL.	
	Examiner KISHIN G. BELANI	Art Unit 2143	Page 1 of 3

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE **ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS**, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

This time period for reply is NOT extendable under 37 CFR 1.136(a). This communication constitutes notice under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(1)(i).

To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must, within this time period for reply, file:

- (1) A letter requesting not to have a first-action interview, or
- (2) A completed Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (PTOL-413A) accompanied by a proposed amendment or arguments.

Inventor participation in the Pre-First Action Interview is encouraged if it would expedite resolution of the application.

Disposition of Claims

- 3) ☒ Claim(s) 66-85 is/are pending in the application.
 3a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ appear to be allowable.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 66-85 appear to be rejectable.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ may be subject to an objection.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 8) ☒ The specification may be subject to an objection by the Examiner.
- 9) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04/08/2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ may be subject to an objection by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

- 10) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 11) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Contact Information

Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)270-1768

Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: Monday - Friday 6:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. EST

Supervisor's Name: Tonia . Dollinger

Supervisor's Telephone Number: 571-272-4170

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Pre-Interview Communication	Application No. 10787227	Applicant(s) LE ET AL.	
	Examiner KISHIN G. BELANI	Art Unit 2143	Page 2 of 3

Notification of Potential Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)

#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Potential Rejection
1	66-85		112, Second	Use of "A computing device" in the dependent claims creates lack of antecedent, because the computing device is already defined in the independent claim 66. The examiner has interpreted it to mean "said local computing device" (see continuation below).
2	66, 84, 85	A, B	103 (a)	Ref. A (Laursen et al.), Figs. 1-2.b, web server 112 and mobile phone 106 in Fig. 1, column 6, lines 5-29 disclose a local computing device 112 for communicating with a remotely located computing device 106. (see continuation below)
3	67, 68, 72-74, 77-79, 81	A, B, C	103 (a)	Ref. C (Shoemaket et al.), paragraphs 0082-0087, with secure session in paragraph 0084, first and second communication channels in paragraph 0087. paragraphs 0086 for Citrix ICA Virtual Channel. (see continuation below).
4	69-71, 76	A, B, D	103 (a)	Ref D (Hughes), column 27, lines 36-52 for a predetermined event to lock a session, lapse of time causing activation of screen saver. Fig. 5, column 6, lines 55-62 and column 11, lines 30-39 for IP telephone.
5	75	A, B, E	103 (a)	Ref. E (Hsu et al.), column 6, lines 56-67 through column 7, lines 1-9 for a gateway.

Expanded Discussion/Commentary

1		or "said remotely located computing device" depending on the context. Please distinguish between local and remote computing devices.
2		Ref. B (Courts et al.), Fig. 3A, column 7, lines 53-67 through column 8, lines 1-17 for transmitting lock session signal; Ref. A, Fig. 5.a, step 202; abstract, column 4, lines 19-35 and column 9, lines 1-10 for a secure session; Ref. A, Fig. 6, username 302 and password 304; column 8, lines 4-65 for prompting a user for identification information; Ref. A, column 8, lines 38-47 for transmitting the identification information
3		Ref. C (Shoemaket et al.), paragraph 0054, with environmental control elements equated to industrial controllers. Ref. C (Shoemaket et al.), paragraphs 0003, 0082, 0084, and 0085 for thin clients; paragraph 0054 for hand-held devices; paragraph 0019 for PDA and cell phones. Ref. C (Shoemaket et al.), paragraph 0088 for local trigger and remote execution.

DATE: 8/12/2008	Examiner Signature: KGB	Primary Examiner Signature:  8/18/08
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

Pre-Interview Communication (continued)	Application No. 10787227	Applicant(s) LE ET AL.	
	Examiner KISHIN G. BELANI	Art Unit 2143	Page 3 of 3

Notification of Potential Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)

#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Potential Rejection
6	80	A, B, F	103 (a)	Ref. F (Koren), paragraph 0092, "access identifier"
7	82, 83	A, B, G	103 (a)	Ref. G (Wright), abstract, column 1, lines 12-38 for locking remote device. Ref. A (Laurson et al.), Fig. 6, username 302 and password 304; column 8, lines 4-65 for prompting a user for identification information to unlock secure session; (see continuation)
8			Specification objection	Paragraph 0064, change "user is enters" to -- user enters -- Paragraph 0066, change "locking the session signal" to -- locking the session --

Expanded Discussion/Commentary

7		Ref. G, abstract, column 1, lines 12-38 for locking remote device using screen saver.

DATE: 8/12/2008	Examiner Signature: KGB	Primary Examiner Signature: 
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

SPE A.U. 2143